

United States Patent and Trademark Office

1	١
7	٦
•	

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/815,456	03/30/2004	Alexander Patton Janssen JR.	2012-14	6405
7	590 03/09/2005		EXAM	INER
Richard D. Multer			HARMON, CHRISTOPHER R	
Law Office of Richard D. Multer			ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
P.O. Box 2384		·	1	····
Shelton, WA 98584		3721		

DATE MAILED: 03/09/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	10/815,456	JANSSEN ET AL.		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
, · · · ·	Christopher R Harmon	3721		
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	1	orrespondence address		
Period for Reply				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1: after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONEI	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 30 M	arch 2004.			
<u> </u>	action is non-final.			
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims				
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-8 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-8 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o				
Application Papers				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomplicated any not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine	epted or b) objected to by the Eddrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 				
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Summary	(PTO-413)		
2) Notice of Trefferences offices (1 10-052) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail Da			

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04) Application/Control Number: 10/815,456

Art Unit: 3721

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1-7 and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Ellsworth et al. (US 5,749,822).

Ellsworth et al. disclose an apparatus for forming a pleat comprising first and second creasing components 56 and 66; third creasing component 73; see figure 2. Third creasing component is positioned between and on the other side of the flexible product to be pleated than first and second components 56 and 66. The material is either driven intermittently or continuously by an arrangement. The distance between the first and second creasing components decreases as the pleat is formed then transferred to nip/compressing rollers 23 and 24 (ie. direction movement of folders/material).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Art Unit: 3721

4. Claim 8 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ellsworth et al. (US 5,749,822) in view of Smithe et al. (US 6,210,309).

Elsworth does not directly disclose a conveyor for moving the folder with a plow, however Smithe et al. teach a folder with plow 60 and conveyor 12. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include the conveyor and plow folder of Smithe in order to deliver a fiexible connector folder blank to be pleated.

5. Alternatively, claims 1-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Smithe et al. (US 6,210,309) in view of Ellsworth et al. (US 5,749,822)

Smithe does not disclose pleating a connecting portion of the folder, however Ellsworth teaches a three component pleating device; see above. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use the pleating device of Ellsworth in the invention to Smithe et al. for pleating the bottom connected portion of folders.

Conclusion

- 6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
- 7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Christopher R Harmon whose telephone number is (571) 272-4461. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday from 8-6.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Rinaldi Rada can be reached on (571) 272-4467. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

ch

Rinaldi I. Rada Supervisory Patent Examiner Group 3700